**104) To be an effective leader, a public official must maintain the highest ethical and moral standards.**

Write a response in which you discuss the extent to which you agree or disagree with the claim. In developing and supporting your position, be sure to address the most compelling reasons and/or examples that could be used to challenge your position.

**107) To be an effective leader, a public official must maintain the highest ethical and moral standards.**

Write a response in which you discuss the extent to which you agree or disagree with the statement and explain your reasoning for the position you take. In developing and supporting your position, you should consider ways in which the statement might or might not hold true and explain how these considerations shape your position.

1. 正:a、官员是公 众人物，有引 导作用，作为公 众人物，自然 就应该受更多 的限制，比 如不能随便说脏话 talk dirty，要注意自己的行为举止等等。不道德的官员是不可能有很 长的政治生涯的。;b、没有道德容易 abuse power, corruption 伤害人民利益，无法取得 民众信任和对手的尊重，比如希特勒;
2. 反:没有完美的人，要求一个官员有最高的道德是不现实的。;a、首先，我们都无法定 义什么是最高的道德和伦理标准。最高的应该象圣人 sage 一样吗?既然我们不知道，我 们又怎么去要求官员。最高的道德也许只应该保留在圣经里作为一种理想 ideal 去追求;
3. b、最高的道德标准有时是和大部分人的利益冲突的，比如，最高的道德标准应该要求 不能够 kill animals，但是那样的话，一个领导人将不得不做出决定说我们人类不准吃肉， 这无疑是荒谬的。当恐怖分子 terrorist 挑战的危急时候，一个领导人决不能因为所谓的 道德标准而珍惜 cherish 罪犯的生命。否则，将会给民众带来巨大灾难。例子:一个领 袖没有最高的伦理道德也可以是出色的，比如拿破仑 Napoleon 本人比较 ego ，而且他 很贪婪 greedy 权利，但是人们谈论他的时候都是他的 wisdom 和 courage 以及对法国社 会的巨大贡献，这些 weakness 并不妨碍他成为一个非常优秀的 effective leader。所以说， 要求一个官员具有最高的道德标准并不必要。
4. 结论:尽管对于官员的要求还需讨论，简单说有最高道德是不明智的 。要全面考虑，才   能更准确，并使人民获益

校长即使受到了诱惑但还是坚持用自己的人格魅力影响别人，最终这些老师被校长的道德打动了

 ----

public officials must be moral or they might face the exposure of media. public interest and newsworthiness propel media to disclose the immoral behaviors of public officials. People today are fascinated with peering and gazing into places from which we typically are forbidden and are interested in seeing and hearing the innermost details of others’ lives. Medias, especially social medias such as Facebook and Twitter, propelled to gain as much profit as possible, try to carter to the demand of public. Here is an example, South Korean political scandal demonstrate the power of media. Local media reported that Choi, who has no official government position, had access to confidential documents and information for the president, and acted as a very close confidant for the president. President Park Geun-hye has become the country's first democratically elected leader to be forced from office. In sum, medias indeed reveal scandals of public officials.

Nobody could live flawlessly. A good case in hand is Gandhi, the man who is hailed no short of a god in India, the father of the nation, the person who played a pivotal role in our independence. However, some medias would tend to talk about his flaws, like ‘Gandhi was not a good father to his sons, he was not perfect’. As for the answer whether Gandhi was a perfect man, my straight forward answer is NO, but he certainly was an amazing man and that is an outstanding achievement to emulate. In short, public officials are never perfect, but they're brave, they're authentic, they're courageous, determined, discreet, and they've got grit.

There are different moral standards.